

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/965,286	11/06/1997	TAKAYUKI GOMI	P97.2608	3718
26263 7:	590 04/30/2003			
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAMINER	
			NADAV, ORI	
	•		` ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811 '	22
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003	50

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Analization No.	gn-			
, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/965,286	GOMI ET AL.			
	Omice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAIL ING DATE And	ori nadav	2811			
Period f	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	5					
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 M</u>					
2a)☐		s action is non-final.				
3)[_]	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	.x parię Quayle, 1993 C.D. 11, 4:	33 O.G. 213.			
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,20-26,30 and 31</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,20-26,30 and 31</u> is/are rejected	I .				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		ħ			
10) 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b)□ objected to by the Exan	iner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 -		is: a)□ approved b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	n No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
				Α		
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment		. ,	· ·-			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			
. Patent and Tra						

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrases "the first base layer" and "the second base layer", as recited in claim 20, lines 13-14 and 17, respectively, should read "a first base layer" and "a second base layer". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 21-23, 25-26 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (4,258,379) in view Yamauchi (5,151,765) or Takemoto et al. (4,,826,780)...

Regarding claim 1, Watanabe et al. teach in figure 8 a semiconductor device having a first vertical bipolar transistor 101 and a second vertical type transistor 201 having a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first transistor, the transistors each

Art Unit: 2811

having an emitter, a base and a collector, the semiconductor device comprising a silicon substrate of a first conductivity P type 1, an epitaxial layer 3 formed on the substrate, a first embedded diffusion layer 21 formed as a part of the collector of the first vertical bipolar transistor in a first upper part of the substrate and in the epitaxial layer and has the same conductivity type and higher impurity concentration than that of the epitaxial layer, and a second embedded diffusion layer 22" formed as a part of the collector of the second vertical type transistor directly on the substrate in a second upper part of the substrate.

Watanabe et al. teach a high voltage second vertical type transistor and a high speed first vertical type transistor, but does not a state that the second vertical type transistor has a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first vertical type transistor, and that the first and second embedded diffusion layers are formed as a part of the respective collector regions.

Yamauchi teaches in figure 5 first and second embedded diffusion layers 2a, 2b are formed as a part of the respective collector regions 3a, 3b, and connected to collector contact regions 7a, 7b.

Takemoto et al. teach in figure 5 first and second embedded diffusion layers 32, 36 are formed as a part of the respective collector regions 33 and 39, respectively (column 6, lines 34-41).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a second vertical type transistor having a breakdown voltage

Art Unit: 2811

that is higher than that of the first vertical type transistor in Watanabe et al.'s device and to characterize the first and second embedded diffusion layers as being a part of the respective collector regions, in order to use the device in an application which requires a second vertical type transistor having a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first vertical type transistor, and because the embedded diffusion layers the collector regions and the collector contact regions are all effectively become part of a collector layer due to their identical conductivity type. Note that a recitation which occurs in the preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See in re-Firao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Regarding claim 3, Watanabe et al. teach in figure 9 a bottom of the first embedded diffusion layer 21 being formed at a smaller distance from the surface of the emitter than the bottom of the second embedded diffusion layer 22".

Regarding claims 4 and 21, Watanabe et al. teach in figure 9 a second embedded diffusion layer having impurity concentration portions that are equal and greater than that of the epitaxial layer.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 22, Watanabe et al. teach a peak position of an impurity concentration of the second embedded diffusion layer resides at a distance from the surface of the emitter that is approximately equal to a location of the bottom of the first embedded diffusion layer from the surface of the emitter.

Regarding claim 23, Watanabe et al. teach a first vertical type bipolar transistor defining a voltage that is different than that of the second vertical type bipolar transistor, wherein the first embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration that is higher than that of the epitaxial layer.

Regarding claim 6, Watanabe et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure; applied to claim 6 above, except a second embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration of 10E13 to 10E15. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a second embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration of 10E13 to 10E15 in Watanabe et al.'s device, since it is within the skills of an artisan to form a second embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration of 10E13 to 10E15, subject to routine experimentation and optimization. Note that generally, differences in concentration or temperature do not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover

Art Unit: 2811

the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969). For more recent cases applying this principle, see Merck & Co. inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989), and In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Regarding claim 26, it is conventional to reverse the polarity of the transistor. Therefore, it would be obvious to reverse the polarity, as claimed.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, the first vertical type bipolar transistor is capable of operating at a higher speed and a lower voltage tan the second vertical type bipolar transistor.

4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 20-26 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumamaru et al. (4,379,726) in view Yamauchi (5,151,765) or Takemoto et al.

Kumamaru et al. teach in figure 10 a semiconductor device having a first vertical bipolar transistor 15 and a second vertical type transistor 13 having a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first vertical type transistor, formed on a P type semiconductor

Art Unit: 2811

substrate comprising a first conductivity type silicon substrate 1, 5 defining a datum, an epitaxial layer 11 formed on the substrate above the datum surface, a first embedded diffusion layer 14 formed as part of a first vertical bipolar transistor 15 in a first upper part of the substrate and in the epitaxial layer and has the same conductivity type and higher impurity concentration than that of the epitaxial layer, a second embedded diffusion layer 13 (see figure 8) formed as part of a second vertical type transistor 13 directly on the substrate

Kumamaru et al. do not a state that the first and second embedded diffusion layers are formed as a part of the respective collector regions.

Yamauchi teaches in figure 5 first and second embedded diffusion layers 2a, 2b are formed as a part of the respective collector regions 3a, 3b, and connected to collector contact regions 7a, 7b.

Takemoto et al. teach in figure 5 first and second embedded diffusion layers 32, 36 are formed as a part of the respective collector regions 33 and 39, respectively (column 6, lines 34-41).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to characterize the first and second embedded diffusion layers as being a part of the respective collector regions in Kumamaru et al.'s device because the embedded diffusion layers the collector regions, the epitaxial layer and the collector contact regions are all effectively become part of a collector layer due to their identical conductivity type.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 3, Kumamaru et al. teach a bottom of the first embedded diffusion layer 14 being formed at a smaller distance from the datum surface (the interface between layers 11 and 5) than the midpoint of the second embedded diffusion layer.

Note that the broad recitation of the claim does not require the datum surface to be the bottom surface of the substrate.

Regarding claims 4 and 21, although figure 10 of Kumamaru et al. does not depict a second embedded diffusion layer having impurity concentration portions that are equal and greater than that of the epitaxial layer, this feature is inherent in Kumamaru et al.'s device, because it is well known in the art that diffused areas have concentration that follows natural distribution curve, of which official notice is taken (See Watanabe et al.') figure 9, graph 22"). In the alternative, the second embedded diffusion layer can comprise layers 13 and 12. Thus, the second embedded diffusion layer has impurity concentration portions that are equal and greater than that of the epitaxial layer, as claimed.

Regarding claim 6, Kumamaru et al. do not teach a second embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration of 10E13 to 10E15. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a second embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration of 10E13 to 10E15 in Kumamaru et al.'s device, since it is within the skills of an artisan to form a second

Art Unit: 2811

embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration of 10E13 to 10E15, subject to routine experimentation and optimization, respectively. Note that generally, differences in concentration or temperature do not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re. Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). See also In re. Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969). For more recent cases applying this principle, see. Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989), and. In re. Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Regarding claim 22, Kumamaru et al. teach a peak position of an impurity concentration of the second embedded diffusion layer resides at a distance from the surface of the emitter that is approximately equal to a location of the bottom of the first embedded diffusion layer from the surface of the emitter.

Regarding claim 23, Kumamaru et al. teach a first vertical type bipolar transistor defining a voltage that is different than that of the second vertical type bipolar transistor, wherein the first embedded diffusion layer having an impurity concentration that is higher than that of the epitaxial layer.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claims 20 and 24, Kumamaru et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure, as applied to claim 1 above, including first and second bases disposed between two first and second graft base layers, above the first and second embedded diffusion layers to define first and second epitaxial thicknesses, respectively, wherein the first thickness is less than the second thickness, and wherein only the epitaxial layer is disposed between the base layer and the second embedded diffusion layer.

Regarding claim 26, it is conventional to reverse the polarity of the transistor. Therefore, it would be obvious to reverse the polarity, as claimed.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, the first vertical type bipolar transistor is capable of operating at a higher speed and a lower voltage tan the second vertical type bipolar transistor.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi (5,151,765) or Takemoto et al. (4,,826,780).

Yamauchi teach in figure 5 and related text a semiconductor device having a first vertical bipolar transistor B and a second vertical type transistor C having a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first transistor, the transistors each having an emitter, a base and a collector, the semiconductor device comprising a silicon substrate

Art Unit: 2811

of a first conductivity P type 1, an epitaxial layer formed on the substrate, a first embedded diffusion layer 2b formed as a part of the collector of the first vertical bipolar transistor in a first upper part of the substrate and in the epitaxial layer and has the same conductivity type and higher impurity concentration than that of the epitaxial layer, and a second embedded diffusion layer 2a formed as a part of the collector of the second vertical type transistor directly on the substrate in a second upper part of the substrate.

Yamauchi further teaches in figure 5 first and second embedded diffusion layers 2a, 2b are formed as a part of the respective collector regions 3a, 3b, and connected to collector contact regions 7a, 7b.

Takemoto et al. teach in figure 12 and related text a semiconductor device having a first vertical bipolar transistor npn (part of the IIL) and a second vertical type transistor pnp having a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first transistor, the transistors each having an emitter, a base and a collector, the semiconductor device comprising a silicon substrate of a first conductivity P type 31, an epitaxial layer 33 formed on the substrate, a first embedded diffusion layer 32 formed as a part of the collector of the first vertical bipolar transistor in a first upper part of the substrate and in the epitaxial layer and has the same conductivity type and higher impurity concentration than that of the epitaxial layer, and a second embedded diffusion layer 36 formed as a part of the collector of the second vertical type transistor directly on the substrate in a second upper part of the substrate.

Art Unit: 2811

vertical type transistor.

Takemoto et al. further teach in figure 12 first and second embedded diffusion layers 32, 36 are formed as a part of the respective collector regions 49, 39.

Yamauchi teaches a high voltage second vertical type transistor and a high speed first vertical type transistor, but does not a state that the second vertical type transistor has a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first vertical type transistor.

Takemoto et al. teaches a high voltage second vertical type transistor and a high speed first vertical type transistor, but do not a state that the second vertical type transistor has a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first vertical type transistor. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a second vertical type transistor having a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first vertical type transistor in Takemoto et al.'s device of Yamauchi's device in order to use the device in an application which requires a second vertical type transistor having a breakdown voltage that is higher than that of the first

6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi in view Kumamaru et al. (4,379,726).

Regarding claim 24, Yamauchi teaches substantially the entire claimed structure, as applied to claim 1 above, including only an epitaxial layer is disposed between the base layer and the second embedded diffusion layer. Yamauchi does not teach first and second graft base layers.

Art Unit: 2811

Kumamaru et al. teach in figure 10 first and second graft base layers 17, 21. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use first and second graft base layers in Yamauchi's device in order to improve the device characteristics by preventing metal base spiking from reaching the collector region.

7. Claims 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemoto et al. (4,,826,780) in view Kumamaru et al. (4,379,726).

Takemoto et al. teach in figure 12 substantially the entire claimed structure, as applied to claim 1 above, including first and second bases disposed above the first and second embedded diffusion layers to define first and second epitaxial thicknesses, respectively, wherein the first thickness is less than the second thickness, and wherein only the epitaxial layer is disposed between the base layer and the second embedded diffusion layer.

Takemoto et al. do not teach first and second graft base layers.

Kumamaru et al. teach in figure 10 first and second graft base layers 17, 21. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use first and second graft base layers in Takemoto et al.'s device in order to improve the device characteristics by preventing metal base spiking from reaching the collector region.

Art Unit: 2811

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 20-26 and 30-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC)

2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC

2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such

papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG

30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722

and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to

Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas, can be reached at **(703) 308-2772**.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

O.N. April 25, 2003 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

O